Case5:10-cv-02994-HRL Document51 Filed07/30/10 Page1 of 2 1 Jane L. Froyd (SBN 220776) Kathryn G. Spelman, Esq. (SBN 154512) JONES DAY Daniel H. Fingerman, Esq. (SBN 229683) 2 Mount & Stoelker 1755 Embarcadero Road Palo Alto, CA 94303 RiverPark Tower, Suite 1650 3 Phone: (650) 739-3939 333 West San Carlos Street Fax: (650) 739-3900 San Jose CA 95110-2740 4 ifroyd@jonesday.com Phone: (408) 279-7000 Fax: (408) 998-1473 5 Attorney for Defendant kspelman@mount.com, The Scotts Company LLC dfingerman@mount.com 6 Attorneys for Plaintiff 7 San Francisco Technology, Inc. 8 9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 10 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 11 SAN JOSE DIVISION 12 13 San Francisco Technology, Inc., Case No. 5:10-cy-02994-HRL 14 Plaintiff, STIPULATION TO EXTEND TIME FOR THE SCOTTS COMPANY LLC 15 TO RESPOND TO COMPLAINT v. [CIVIL LOCAL RULE 6-1(A)] 16 Aero Products International, Inc., BP Lubricants USA Inc., BRH Brands, Inc., 17 Calico Brands, Inc., Cooper Lighting, LLC, Darex LLC, Dexas International Ltd., Dyna-18 Gro Nutrition Solutions, Fiskars Brands, Inc., Global Concepts, Inc., Homax Products, Inc., 19 Kimberly-Clark Corporation, Kraco Enterprises LLC, Lixit Corporation, Mead 20 Westvaco Corporation, Nutrition 21 Inc., Oatey Co., Optimum Technologies, Inc., 21 Newell Rubbermaid Inc., Schick Manufacturing, Inc., The Scotts Company 22 LLC, Sterling International, Inc., Vitamin Power Incorporated, Woodstream 23 Corporation, 4-D Design, Inc., 24 Defendants. 25 26

27

28

1	Plaintiff San Francisco Technology, Inc. and Defendant The Scotts Company LLC ("The	
2	Scotts Company"), by and through their undersigned counsel, pursuant to Civil Local Rule 6-1(a),	
3	agree and stipulate as follows. The Scotts Company shall have until September 7, 2010 to file	
4	and serve a responsive pleading in this matter.	
5	IT IS SO AGREED AND STIPUL	LATED.
6		
7	Dated: July 30, 2010	JONES DAY
8		
9		By: /s/ Jane L. Froyd
10		Jane L. Froyd
11		Attorney for Defendant The Scotts Company LLC
12	Dated: July 30, 2010	Mount & Stoelker, P.C.,
13		
14		By:/s/ Dan Fingerman
15		Dan Fingerman
16		Attorney for Plaintiff San Francisco Technology, Inc.
17		
18		
19	In accordance with General Order No. 45, Rule X, the above signatory attests that	
20	concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained from the signatory below.	
21		
22	Dated: July 30, 2010	Mount & Stoelker, P.C.,
23		
24		By: /s/ Dan Fingerman Dan Fingerman
25		Attorney for Plaintiff
26		San Francisco Technology, Inc.
27		
28		
	SVI-83429v1	STIPULATION TO EXTEND TIME FOR THE SCOTTS COMPANY TO RESPOND

Case No. 5:10-cv-02994-HRL